

## **Interview Summary:**

The Applicant's attorney conducted a telephonic interview with Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) Alexa Neckel on January 5, 2011 to discuss the pending rejections and a prosecution strategy for overcoming those rejections.

During the Interview, the Applicant's attorney and the SPE discussed that the Applicant may delete the "same or different" limitation from claims 18 and 36 to expedite prosecution, to clarify claim scope, and to traverse the related 35 U.S.C. §112 ¶1 rejection.

The SPE also agreed with the Applicant's attorney that the 35 U.S.C. §112 ¶2 rejection of claims 2-4, 6, 8, 12-17, 31-34, 36, 38, and 39 should be withdrawn based on Applicant's previously filed Remarks.

In addition, the Applicant's attorney and the SPE discussed the unexpected results illustrated by the Examples and explained in the Remarks of the previously filed Amendment of July 30, 2010. The SPE recommended that future remarks, i.e., the Remarks of the instant Amendment, be more detailed to enhance the Examiner's understanding of the Examples and the significance of the testing data.